UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,942	05/16/2001	Michael Sachs	2633.1	1439
	7590 04/03/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFEL	LER PLAZA	MATHEW, FENN C		
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS 04/03/2007		PAPER		

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Spe
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/855,942	SACHS, MICHAEL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Fenn C. Mathew	3764
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the mail of the period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will be set or ext	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	February 2007.	,
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex par</i> te Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
<ul> <li>4)</li></ul>	-32 is/are withdrawn from c	onsideration.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
<ul><li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li></ul>		Application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		
application from the International Bure	· ·	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	, ,	t received.
•		
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other: \_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 09/855,942 Page 2

Art Unit: 3764

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed in this application after appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences, but prior to a decision on the appeal. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the appeal has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114 and prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/12/2007 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 2-3, 19, and 33-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bolanos (U.S. 5,423,858). Please refer to paragraph 2 in the office action dated May 16, 2005.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 13-14, 16, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bolona. Please refer to paragraph 4 of the above cited office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/855,942 Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 02/12/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The response to arguments on page 2-3 of the office action dated December 28, 2005 is herein incorporated. Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's arguments. Applicant's claims continue to remain broad. Applicant has failed to structurally distinguish Bolanos with the claims presented. Furthermore, Examiner points out that the device of Bolanos is to be used in nasal reconstructive surgeries. The shape of Bolanos is irrelevant, since Applicant has failed to define the "predetermined shape". Examiner does not understand the argument "nothing found or pointed out in Bolanos has a shape", since inherently as an object, Bolanos must have some sort of shape, and furthermore, Applicant has not specified what the shape must be. Arguments drawn to claim 19 continue to draw towards functional language and intended use. Applicant has not provided any proof drawn from the specification that the proposed modification would destroy the functionality of Bolanos besides Applicant's own opinion. Modification of prior art need not be solely for the purposes envisioned by Applicant. Examiner is of the opinion that inasmuch as the struts taught by Applicant in the elected embodiment disclose a receptacle, so too does Bolanos, as Applicant does not claim the receptacle extending perpendicularly outward.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fenn C. Mathew whose telephone number is (571) 272-4978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

F.C. Mathew March 3, 2007